Osteoporosis Risk Prediction Among a Group of Postmenopausal Females: A Case-Control Study

Maha A.Mowafy ¹*, Laila M Kamel², Soha T Hamed ³, Dalia A Mohamed ², Yara M Taha ¹

¹ Family Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

² Public Health Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

³ Radiology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University

Abstract:

Background: Osteoporosis is often called the silent disease as the first symptom of osteoporosis is a fracture. In Egypt, 53.9% of postmenopausal women have osteopenia and 28.4% have osteoporosis. Failure to identify patients at risk for osteoporosis and fracture results in missed opportunities for prevention. **Objectives:** The study objectives were to assess the association between osteoporosis risk factors and severity of osteopenia/osteoporosis in postmenopausal females and to suggest a simple screening tool for prediction of osteopenia/osteoporosis in primary health care.

Methods: This is a case-control study. A sample size of 210 candidates was calculated using Epi-Info version 6. Purposive non-probability sampling technique was used for selection of the candidates. Interviewing questionnaire, Correlations and logistic regression analysis were used.

Results: The independent significant predictors for osteopenia/osteoporosis were: advanced age, inadequate physical exercise, inadequate indoor sun exposure, personal history of fragility fractures, improper pregnancy spacing, high parity, early menopause, low body-mass index and family history of osteoporosis. **Conclusion**: This study revealed a high prevalence of undiagnosed osteopenia and osteoporosis. It is recommended to use the above 9 risk factors as a simple cost-effective tool in Primary Health Care (PHC) for early prediction of abnormal bone mineral density (BMD). The majority of these factors can be modified for the early prevention.

Keywords: Menopause, Osteoporosis, Screening, Women.

Introduction:

Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength and mass, with a consequent increase in bone fragility.¹ It is called the silent disease as its first symptom is fractures.² It is a major problem worldwide and occurs mainly in postmenopausal females.³ According to WHO, osteoporosis presents in 15% of women aged 50–59 years and increases quickly to 70% of those over 80 years old.¹

In Egypt, 53.9% of postmenopausal women have osteopenia and 28.4% have osteoporosis.⁴ Identification of patients at risk

for osteoporosis is the first step in fracture prevention.⁵ Clinical screenings for osteoporosis often focus on T scores.⁶ Low BMD alone is less predictive of fracture.⁷⁻⁸ Combining assessments of BMD and risk factors for osteoporosis provides a more effective clinical strategy for establishing the patients risk levels. Numerous risk factors were linked to osteoporosis as; age, low Body Mass Index (BMI) premature and menopause.9

Individuals have elevated fractures risk might be identified by clinical risk factors alone.¹⁰ Family physicians should recommend diagnostic testing depending on the risk factors.¹¹ The current study aimed at prevention of osteopenia/osteoporosis and complications -including fracturestheir among postmenopausal women through early detection of risk factors. The objectives were to identify risk factors of osteopenia/osteoporosis in postmenopausal females, to assess the association between risk factors severity of and osteopenia/osteoporosis in postmenopausal females and to suggest a simple model for prediction of osteopenia/osteoporosis in postmenopausal women to be applied as a screening tool in PHC.

Methods:

This was a case-control study. Cases were postmenopausal females attending Radiology department in Kasr Alainy hospital and suffering from osteopenia or osteoporosis as confirmed by Dual-energy X-ray Absorptiometry (DXA) scan. Control group was postmenopausal non-osteoporotic females (approved by DXA scan) selected from Family Medicine clinic in Kasr Alainy hospital.

A sample size of 210 candidates was calculated using Epi-Info version 6. The minimum sample size needed to detect an odds ratio (OR) of 2.5 (minimum significant adjusted odds ratio was found by Grgurevic and Gledovic,¹² was 105 cases and 105 controls based on a case-control ratio of 1:1, with a two-sided alpha level of 0.05, 80% power, and with an expected exposure frequency in the control group of 20%. Purposive non-probability sampling technique was used for selection of the candidates.

all Inclusion criteria included postmenopausal women diagnosed by DXA scan as osteopenia or osteoporosis. Exclusion criteria included previously diagnosed osteoporotic patients on medical treatment for surgically induced osteoporosis and menopause.

The study phases:

The study was conducted over the period of the last three years. It passed into three phases:

 Preparatory phase: over a period of six months. This phase included review of literature; study design; preparation, validation and testing of tools and obtaining approval from ethical committees.

The study tool:

A structured questionnaire was designed to assess the risk factors of osteoporosis in postmenopausal females, which included: socio-demographic characters, medical history, personal habits and lifestyle including Activity of daily living (ADL), past history, gynecological and reproductive history and Family history:

- Socio-demographic characters adopted from modified Fahmi and El-Sherbini, socioeconomic scoring questionnaire to assess the social class of candidates.¹³.
- The medical condition, including the diseases and medications, adopted from 2014 clinician's guide to prevention and treatment of osteoporosis published in National Osteoporosis Foundation (NOF).¹⁴
- Recommended physical activity adopted using the American College of Sports Medicine's (ACSM) recommendation for preserving bone health which recommend weight-bearing activities (tennis, stair climbing, jogging or walking) three to five times per week (30-60 minute/day).¹⁵
- ADL adopted using Katz index of independence in Activity of daily living.¹⁶
 The other parts of the questionnaire were based on an online questionnaire titled "Bone Mineral Density Questionnaire- female" prepared by Irish osteoporosis society (IOS) after modification of some items to cope with the Egyptian cultures and habits.¹⁷ Validation of the tool was done by experts' review. A

Pilot testing of the questionnaire was done on 25 postmenopausal women in order to check the clarity of the structured questionnaire and estimate the time needed to complete it.

As most of women were illiterate, the questionnaire had to be completed by interviewing. Some modifications were done; question related to calcium supplementation intake during pregnancy and lactation was added and question related to alcohol intake was deleted, as it is not appropriate to the candidates' culture. The pilot questionnaires were not added to the study results.

2. Data collection phase: Data were collected over a period of two year and three months. All postmenopausal women attending Radiology department for DXA scan either directly or referred from outpatient clinics or internal departments, and proved by DXA scan to have osteopenia or osteoporosis were included cases. Postmenopausal women as attending the Family Medicine clinic for any reason were included as controls after proven as non-osteoporotic by DXA scan. It is to be noted that some of the candidates who was collected from the Family Medicine department as control proven by DXA and scan to be osteoporotic were shifted to cases.

Candidates were examined regarding weight and height and BMI was calculated. The ability of candidates to rise from chair (5 times) without using arms was assessed as an indicator for prediction of fall and subsequent fractures.¹⁸ The DXA scan was performed after the completion of the questionnaires to ensure of fairness during interviewing the candidates and to avoid being subjective in reporting findings. Women were classified as either cases or controls, regarding their T-score according to WHO classification of osteoporosis.¹¹

It is to be noted that after having the interview questionnaire and DXA scan, many cases turned up to be suffering from osteopenia or osteoporosis. It ended up that to reach the required number for control group we reached a total of 168 cases (out of 274 examined, i.e. 61.3%). None of the patients were discarded and data analysis was done for 168 cases (94 had osteopenia and 74 had osteoporosis) and 106 controls. Management of women was done by prescribing appropriate medical treatment, referral when needed and follow-up in family medicine clinic.

3. Data management and analysis phase:

It took a period of three months. All collected questionnaires were revised for completeness.

The collected data were coded and entered on the computer using spread sheet "Microsoft Office Excel Software" program, 2007.The data were analyzed using the statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 15.

data were summarized using The number and percentage for qualitative variables, mean and standard deviation for quantitative variables which were normally distributed while median and inter-quartile range (IQR) was used for quantitative variables which were not normally distributed. Comparison between groups were done using Chi-square test for qualitative variables, independent sample t-test and analysis for variance (ANOVA) test with post-hoc test for quantitative normally distributed variables while non-parametrical Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney tests were used for quantitative variables which were not normally distributed.

Correlations were done to test for linear relations variables. Logistic between regression analysis was done to test for significant for predictors osteopenia/osteoporosis. P- Values less than or equal to 0.05 were considered as statistically significant (P-values were calculated four times for each variable; controls versus cases. controls versus

osteopenia cases, controls versus osteoporosis cases and osteoporosis versus osteopenia cases).

Ethical consideration:

Approvals of the research and ethical committee of Family Medicine department and Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University were taken. Informed consents were taken from candidates after explaining the study. Approval of the IOS questionnaire's authors to use and modify the questionnaire was taken. Treatment of all women as needed was emphasized.

Results:

Statistically significant differences were found between cases and controls in all sociodemographic parameters. except marital status. Controls had a statistically higher social class and education than cases. Osteopenia cases statistically were significantly younger than osteoporosis cases (P=0.001) (Table-1).

Regarding the personal habits, physical exercise was significantly more practiced by the control group. Walking was the most physical activity practiced by both cases (86.5%) and controls (95.4%). The ADL of Katz index of independency was statistically insignificant between all groups, in which all the participants took high score (either 6/6 or 5/6); which indicated full functions and independency. The ability to rise from the chair of controls was significantly better (P<0.001).

Both indoor and outdoor sun exposure was significantly better among controls; outdoor sun exposure also was statistically significant between cases of osteopenia and osteoporosis (P<0.001). Both postmenopausal calcium and vitamin-D intake were low in general, but controls had a higher intake than cases, and this difference was statistically insignificant except for vitamin-D supplementations intake between controls and osteopenia cases (P=0.023) (Table-2).

The body weight and height were significantly lower in cases compared to controls. Also this difference was statistically significant between osteopenia and osteoporosis cases (P=0.027). Cases show statistically significant higher frequencies of fragility fractures, repeated fractures, height loss, bony pains and family history of osteoporosis and low-trauma fractures. The relative most reported to had osteoporosis and low-trauma fractures was the mother, in both cases (63.2% and 63.0%, respectively) and controls (85.7% and 81.3%, respectively) (Table-3).

Cases had significantly older age of menarche, younger age of menopause and more years after menopause compared to controls. Years after menopause were statistically significant between osteopenia and osteoporosis cases (P=0.002). History of regular menses was statistically significant between osteopenia cases and controls (P=0.031). History of premenstrual tension syndrome was statistically significant between osteoporosis cases and controls (P=0.043).

Number of deliveries full-term and children were significantly less among controls. Pregnancy spacing and calcium supplementations during pregnancy were significantly more practiced by controls. Calcium supplementations during lactation were statistically significant only between osteoporosis and osteopenia cases, in which of osteoporosis none had these supplementations (P=0.030) (Table-4).

Correlations between different parameters and osteopenia/osteoporosis were done. The BMD was negatively related to age (r=-0.306), age of youngest child (r=-0.212), age of last fracture (P=0.020, r=-0.529), years of menopause (r=-0.250), duration of diabetes (P=0.007, r=-0.411) and duration of hypertension (P=0.008, r=-0.314), while it was positively related to weight (r=0.329). Logistic regression analysis was done to test significant predictors of osteopenia/osteoporosis. significant All parameters were tested. Only Advanced age, inadequate physical exercise, inadequate indoor sun exposure, personal history of fragility fracture, improper pregnancy spacing, high parity, early menopause, low BMI and family history of osteoporosis were found to be significant predictors for osteopenia/osteoporosis (Table-5).

Discussion:

There is a high prevalence of undiagnosed osteopenia/osteoporosis in postmenopausal females. In the current study, the prevalence of abnormal BMD was 61.3% (27.0% had osteoporosis and 34.3% had osteopenia). A case-control study done in 2014 in Ethiopia to assess risk factors of osteoporosis revealed that 63.8% of females had osteoporosis.¹⁹ Osteopenia/osteoporosis risk factors should be assessed for prevention of this major problem. In this study, age is an independent risk factor for osteoporosis.

This was the case in a case-control study done in 2014 in Karachi to assess the risk factors of osteoporosis; the majority of cases were between 50-59 years of age,²⁰ the age of cases in the current study fall in this range. The current study revealed that early menopause is an independent risk factor for osteopenia/osteoporosis. This finding is strongly supported by a case-control study done in 2010 in Belgrade to assess risk factors of osteoporosis in postmenopausal females. The menopause before 47 years of age was significantly independently associated with osteoporosis and the age of menopause in cases was also younger than controls.¹²

Age and age of menopause are nonof modifiable risk factors osteopenia/osteoporosis which should be assessed in all postmenopausal women. Personal history of fragility fractures is an important indicator for osteoporosis as found by a study done in 2006 in UK to assess the susceptibility to osteoporosis.²¹ The current study proved history of fragility fracture is an independent risk factor for osteopenia/osteoporosis. Age of last fracture was negatively correlated to BMD.

This is expected as with increased age of last fracture, age of women also increased. On the other hand, a study done in 2014 demonstrated that no significant association between fractures and osteoporosis.²⁰ Family history of osteoporosis in the current study is an independent risk factor for osteopenia/osteoporosis. A cross-sectional study done in 2011 in Italy to assess epidemiology of osteoporosis is also enforcing this finding.²² The emphasis on history of osteoporotic fractures in female relatives in the current study probably reflects the belief that osteoporosis is mostly a disease of women.

Combination of supplemental calcium and vitamin-D reduce the risk of fracture as approved by a controlled-clinical trial done in 2004 in Denmark to assess role of vitamin-D and calcium supplementation in prevention of osteoporotic fractures in elderly ^{(23).} The current study supported these results as cases had a lower intake than controls. Calcium and vitamin-D supplementation to all postmenopausal females in PHC is an important preventive measure for osteopenia/osteoporosis; encouragement and follow-up of their adherence is recommended.

89.8% Unfortunately, in Egypt, of females do not practice any physical activity²⁴ Lifetime physical activity positively contributes to total BMD.²⁵ The current study physical highlighted that exercise was significantly less practiced by cases. Lack of physical exercise is an independent risk factor osteopenia/osteoporosis. This for was supported by a study done in 2010 that stated significantly less physical activity in cases.¹² As a result of this finding, exercise is recommended for all females, from childhood to postmenopausal, to prevent osteopenia/osteoporosis. In Egypt, overweight (66.2%) and obesity (41.6%) are highly prevalent in women.²⁴ The current study proved that low BMI is an independent risk factor for osteopenia/osteoporosis. A study done in 2010 showed that cases had significantly lower BMI than controls and body weight below 65 kg was independently associated with osteoporosis.¹²

Low BMI and weight loss are indicator of lower BMD and osteoporosis.²⁶ A study done in UK in 2008 to assess risk factors for bone loss in postmenopausal Caucasian women found that a caloric restrictive diet for more than three months and loss of weight of more than ten kilogram were statistically significantly reported by more cases than controls.²⁷ This was not the case in the current study as restrictive diet and weight loss were less among cases. This was unexpected finding but can be explained that controls compensated deficiency the in caloric restrictive diet by other healthy food which they already consumed better than cases.

Socio-demographic characters are associated with various chronic diseases. However inconsistent findings between these characters and osteoporosis had been observed.²⁸ A study done in 2011 revealed that osteoporosis was associated with poor education.²² The current study followed the same pattern, cases had significantly low education.

This can be explained by that better about educated females know more osteoporosis in contrast to less educated females.²⁹ Normally we could expect that a sedentary lifestyle, which is more common in urban than rural population, contributes to the development of osteoporosis. But this was not the case in the current study in which more cases were significantly from rural residence. This was the same in a study done in 2014 who found that rural residents were 1.93 times more likely to develop osteoporosis.¹⁹ This can be explained by residence in rural areas is associated by high prevalence of malnutrition.

Study Limitation:

The questionnaire took around 45-60 minutes for each candidate that is considered little bit long duration. It was difficult to find the nonosteoporotic postmenopausal women. Some candidates had difficulties to recall the past history. The cost of DXA scan was high.

Conclusion:

This study revealed a high prevalence of undiagnosed osteopenia and osteoporosis. It is recommended to use the above 9 risk factors as a simple cost-effective tool in Primary Health Care (PHC) for early prediction of abnormal bone mineral density (BMD). The majority of these factors can be modified for the early prevention.

It is recommended to use the independent significant predictors of osteopenia/osteoporosis (advanced age, inadequate physical exercise, inadequate indoor sun exposure, personal history of fragility fractures. improper pregnancy spacing, high parity, early menopause, low BMI and family history of osteoporosis) as a simple screening model for prediction of osteopenia/osteoporosis in postmenopausal women in PHC. Further research is needed to assess the sensitivity of this model as a screening test.

Fund: No fund was received.

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank the participants for their co-operation to accomplish this work.

References:

- World Health Organization. Chronic diseases and health promotion, 2014. Available at: http://www.who.int/chp/topics/rheumatic/ en/, last accessed in June 2015.
- Jacobs-Kosmin D, Diamond HS. Drugs and diseases. Osteoporosis, overview, practical essentials and etiology, risk

factors. Medscape, 2014. Available at: http://emedicine.medscape.com/article/33 0598-overview#aw2aab6b2b3, last access in December 2014.

- Passos J, Gomes-Filho I, Vianna M et al. Outcome Measurements in Studies on the Association between Osteoporosis and Periodontal Disease. J Periodontol 2010; 81:1773-1780.
- 4. Taha M: Prevalence of osteoporosis in Middle East, systemic literature review, 10th ECOO, 2011. Available at: http://www.scribd.com/doc/53103901/Ost eopoorosis-Cairo-April-2011-v1, last accessed in July 2015.
- Lindsay R, Silverman SL, Cooper C et al. Risk of new vertebral fracture in the year following a fracture. JAMA 2001;285:320-323. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/111 76842, last accessed in March 2015.
- Ruff C. Gracilization of the modern human skeleton. Am Sci 2006;94:508-514.
- Kanis J, Johansson H, Odén A et al. A family history of fracture and fracture risk: a meta-analysis. Bone J 2004;35:1029-1037. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15 542027, last accessed in March 2015.
- Siris E, Chen Y, Abbott T, Barrett-Connor E et al. Bone mineral density thresholds

Egyptian Family Medicine Journal (EFMJ)

for pharmacological intervention to prevent fractures. Arch Intern Med J 2004;164:1108-1112.

- Kanis J, Borgstrom F, De Laet C et al. Assessment of fracture risk. Osteoporos Int J 2005;16:581-589. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15 616758, last accessed in March 2017.
- 10. McGrother C, Donaldson M, Clayton D et al. Evaluation of a hip fracture risk score for assessing elderly women: the Melton Osteoporotic Fracture (MOF) study. Osteoporos Int J 2002;13(1):89-96. Available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11 883411, last accessed in March 2017.
- 11. World Health Organization. Assessment of fracture risk and its application to screening for postmenopausal osteoporosis. Technical Report of a WHO Study Group. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser J 1994;843:1-129.
- Grgurevic A, Gledovic Z. Factors Associated with Postmenopausal Osteoporosis: A Case-Control Study of Belgrade Women. Women & Health J 2010;50:475-490.
- Fahmy S, El-Sherbini A. Determining simple parameters for social classifications for health research. Bulletin

of the High Institute of Public Health J 1983;13:95–108.

- 14. Cosman F, Lindsay R, LeBoff M et al. Clinicians guide for prevention and treatment of osteoporosis. National Osteoporosis Foundation (NOF). Risk assessment. 2014;14-15.
- 15. ACSM (American College of Sports Medicine). Physical activity and bone health. 2015. Available at: http://www.acsm.org/access-publicinformation/position-stands/positionstands/lists/position-stands/physicalactivity-and-bone-health, last accessed in June 2015.
- 16. Greenberg S. Katz index of independence in Activity of daily living (ADL). 2012. Available at: http://consultgerirn.org/uploads/File/trythi s/try_this_2.pdf, last accessed in June 2015.
- 17. IOS (Irish osteoporosis society). Bone Mineral Density Questionnaire – female.
 2015. Available at: http://www.irishosteoporosis.ie/?/about_os teoporosis/risk_test/, last accessed in June 2015.
- Albertsson D, Mellström D, Petersson C et al. Validation of a 4-item score predicting hip fracture and mortality risk among

elderly women. Annals of Family Medicine J 2007;5(1):48-56. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/ PMC1783927/, last accessed in June 2015.

- 19. Kahsay A, Gashe F, Debessai Z et al. Risk factors of osteoporosis among adults in Ethiopia, the case of Tigrai region: A case control study. J Public Health Epidemiol 2014;6(2):92-100.
- 20. Danish S, Ahmad F, Hassan F et al. Osteoporosis and its Associated Factors Revisited: Case Control Study. Pakistan J of Medicine and Dentistry 2014;3(02):13-20.
- Ralston S, De Crombrugghe B. Genetic regulation of bone mass and susceptibility to osteoporosis. Genes Dev J 2006;20(18):2492-2506. Available at: http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/20/18/24 92.abstract, last accessed in July 2015.
- 22. Biino G, Casula L, De Terlizzi F et al. Epidemiology of osteoporosis in an isolated Sardinian population by using quantitative ultrasound". Am J Epidemiol 2011;174(4):432-439. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/217 09133, last accessed in July 2015.
- 23. Larsen ER, Mosekilde L, Foldspang A. Vitamin D and calcium supplementation prevents osteoporotic fractures in elderly

community dwelling residents: a pragmatic population-based 3-year intervention study. J Bone Miner Res 2004;19(3):370-378. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/150 40824, last accessed in July 2015.

- 24. Egypt STEPS. Egypt STEPS Survey
 2011–2012: Fact Sheet 2012. Available at: http://www.who.int/chp/steps/20112012_Egypt_FactSheet.pdf?ua=1, last accessed in July 2015.
- 25. Schmitt N, Schmitt J, Doren M. The role of physical activity in the prevention of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women-An update. Maturitas J 2009;63:34-38.
- 26. De Laet C, Odén A, Johansson H et al. The impact of the use of multiple risk indicators for fracture on case-finding strategies: a mathematical approach. Osteoporosis Int j 2005;16:313-318
- 27. Zhai, G, Hart D, Valdes A et al. Natural history and risk factors for bone loss in postmenopausal Caucasian women: A 15-year follow-up population-based study. Osteoporos Int J 2008;19:1211-1217. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/183 05885, last accessed in June 2015.
- 28. Lauderdale D, Salant T, Han K et al. Lifecourse predictors of ultrasonic heel

measurement in a cross-sectional study of immigrant women from Southeast Asia. Am J Epidemiol 2001;153:581-586.

29. Saw S, Hong C, Lee J et al. Awareness and health beliefs of women towards osteoporosis. Osteoporos Int J 2003;14:595-601. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/128 30368, last accessed in June 2015.

	Cases Controls							P-value*	
Socio-demographic	Osteoporosis		Osteopenia		Total		1		
characteristics	No.=74	%	No.=94	%	No.=168	%	No.=106	%	
Age (by years):		•		•					<0.001
Mean \pm SD	59.0 ± 7.6		55.4 ± 6.4		57.0 ± 7.2		54.2 ± 4.9		
Current residence:									0.032
 Urban 	43	58.1	56	59.6	99	58.9	76	71.7	
 Rural 	31	41.9	38	40.4	69	41.1	30	28.3	
Previous residence:									0.007
 Urban 	34	45.9	42	44.7	76	45.2	70	66.0	
 Rural 	24	32.4	27	28.7	51	30.4	22	20.8	
 Shifted from urban to rural 	7	9.5	11	11.7	18	10.7	8	7.5	
 Shifted from rural to urban 	9	12.2	14	14.9	23	13.7	6	5.7	
Education:									0.001
 Illiterate 	34	45.9	47	50.0	81	48.2	35	33.0	
Read & write	20	27.0	15	16.0	35	20.8	11	10.4	
 Primary & preparatory 									
education	10	13.5	8	8.5	18	10.7	20	18.9	
 Secondary education 	5	6.8	17	18.1	22	13.1	30	28.3	
 University education 	5	6.8	7	7.4	12	7.1	10	9.4	
Years of education:		•		•					0.001
 Median (IQR) 	0.5 (0:6)		0.1 (0: 12)		0.1 (0: 6)		6 (0: 12)		
Occupation:									0.014
 Not working 	57	77.0	70	74.5	127	75.6	63	59.4	
 Working 	13	17.6	22	23.4	35	20.8	39	36.8	
 Retired 	4	5.4	2	2.1	6	3.6	4	3.8	
Marital status:									0.648
 Single 	3	4.1	0	0.0	3	1.8	3	2.8	
 Married 	41	55.4	59	62.8	100	59.5	67	63.2	
 Widow 	25	33.8	22	23.4	47	28.0	26	24.5	
 Divorced 	4	5.4	6	6.4	10	6.0	8	7.5	
 Separated 	1	1.4	7	7.4	8	4.8	2	1.9	
Medical insurance:									<0.001
 Has no insurance 	62	83.8	76	80.9	138	82.1	65	61.3	
 Has insurance 	12	16.2	41	19.1	30	17.9	41	38.7	
Family income (LE/month):									<0.001
• Median (IQR)	800 (537: 1425)		1000 (500: 1500)		900 (500: 1500)		1200 (737: 2850)		
Social class:			,				,		0.002
• Low	42	57.6	51	54.3	93	55.7	39	36.8	
 Middle 	22	30.1	24	25.5	46	27.5	32	30.2	
 High 	9	12.3	19	20.2	28	16.8	35	33.0	

Table (1): Socio-demographic characteristics among the studied groups

• P value of relation between cases (total) and controls.

Table (2): Personal habits of the studied groups

	Cases					Controls		P -value*	
Personal habits	Osteoporosis		Osteopenia		Total				
	No.=74	%	No.=94	%	No.=168	%	No.=106	%	
Physical exercise:									<0.001
 Yes 	18	24.3	19	20.2	37	22.0	65	61.3	
■ No	56	75.7	75	79.8	131	78.0	41	38.7	
Recommended physical									<0.001
exercise:									
 Yes 	16	21.6	9	9.6	25	14.9	50	47.2	
■ No	58	78.4	85	90.4	143	85.1	56	52.8	
Indoor sun exposure:									<0.001
 Yes 	40	54.1	53	56.4	93	55.4	81	76.4	
 No 	34	45.9	41	43.6	75	44.6	25	23.6	
Outdoor sun exposure**:									<0.001
 Yes 	23	31.1	61	64.9	84	50.0	85	80.2	
 Infrequent 	41	55.4	24	25.5	56	38.7	21	19.8	
■ No	10	13.5	9	9.6	19	11.3	0	0	
Postmenopausal:									
Calcium supplementations:									
• Yes	21	28.4	22	23.4	43	25.6	34	32.1	0.245
 No 	53	71.6	72	76.6	125	74.4	72	67.9	
Vitamin D supplementation:									
• Yes	13	17.6	8	8.5	21	12.5	21	19.8	0.102
■ No	61	82.4	86	91.5	147	87.5	85	80.2	
Coffee consumption:									<0.001
 Rare/never 	58	78.4	74	78.7	132	78.6	54	50.9	
 Monthly 	4	5.4	2	2.1	6	3.6	5	4.7	
 1-3 times weekly 	2	2.7	11	11.7	13	7.7	22	20.8	
 4-6 times weekly 	0	0.0	1	1.1	1	0.6	1	0.9	
 Once daily 	9	12.2	4	4.3	13	7.7	21	19.8	
 More than once daily 	1	1.4	2	2.1	3	1.8	3	2.8	
Tea consumption:									0.123
 Rare/never 	13	17.6	12	12.8	25	14.9	7	6.6	
 Monthly 	0	0.0	1	1.1	1	0.6	1	0.9	
 1-3 times weekly 	6	8.1	8	8.5	14	8.3	6	5.7	
 4-6 times weekly 	0	0.0	1	1.1	1	0.6	0	0.0	
 Once daily 	16	21.6	21	22.3	37	22.0	36	34.0	
 More than once daily 	39	52.7	51	54.3	90	53.6	56	52.8	
Soft drinks consumption:									0.574
 Rare/never 	53	71.6	65	69.1	118	70.2	75	70.8	
 Monthly 	3	4.1	3	3.2	6	3.6	2	1.9	
 1-3 times weekly 	11	14.9	16	17.0	27	16.1	22	20.8	
 4-6 times weekly 	5	6.8	1	1.1	6	3.6	1	0.9	
Once daily	2	2.7	8	8.5	10	6.0	6	5.7	
 More than once daily 	0	0.0	1	1.1	1	0.6	0	0.0	

*P-value of relation between cases (total) and controls. **Outdoor sunlight exposure is 15 minutes/day during summer half-year.

 Table (3): Personal history of the studied groups

	Cases					Controls		P- value*	
Personal history	Osteoporosis		Osteopenia		Total		1		
	No.=74	%	No.=94	%	No.= 168	%	No.=106	%	
Weight (by Kilograms):									<0.001
• Mean \pm SD	75.6 ± 14.1		81±13.5		78.8 ± 14.0		88 ± 12.8		
Height (by centimeters):									<0.001
• Mean \pm SD	154.2 ± 7.4		155.0 ± 6.4		154.7 ± 6.9		157.8 ± 5.7		
BMI:									0.001
 Normal 	9	12.2	4	4.3	13	7.7	3	2.8	
 Overweight 	18	24.3	20	21.3	38	22.6	9	8.5	
 Obese 	47	63.5	70	74.5	117	69.6	94	88.7	
Restrictive diet: **									<0.001
 Yes 	5	6.8	14	14.9	19	11.3	32	30.2	
• No	69	93.2	80	85.1	149	88.7	74	69.8	
Frequent restrictive diet:									0.001
 Yes 	3	4.1	5	5.3	8	4.8	18	17.0	
• No	71	95.9	89	94.7	160	95.2	88	83.0	
Weight loss:***									0.346
 Yes 	19	25.7	26	27.7	45	26.8	34	32.1	
• No	55	74.3	68	72.3	123	73.2	72	67.9	
Underweight during									0.982
Childhood:****									
• Yes	18	24.3	25	26.6	43	25.6	27	25.5	
■ No	56	75.7	69	73.4	125	74.4	79	74.5	
Fragility fractures:			• •				1.0		
• Yes	33	44.6	29	30.9	62	36.9	10	9.4	<0.001
• No	41	55.4	65	69.1	106	63.1	96	90.6	
Repeated Fragility fractures:			1.0		1.0				
• Yes	9	12.2	10	10.6	19	11.3	4	3.8	0.028
■ No	65	87.8	84	89.4	149	88.7	102	96.2	0.001
Height loss:	25	17.0	20	41.5	7 .4	44.0	10	0.4	<0.001
• Yes	35	47.3	39	41.5	74	44.0	10	9.4	
No No	39	52.7	55	58.5	94	56.0	96	90.6	0.020
Bony pains:	40	64.0		71.0	115	CO F	50		0.032
• Yes	48	64.9	6/	/1.3	115	68.5	59	55.7	
NO	26	35.1	27	28.7	53	31.5	47	44.3	
Family history of Osteoporosis:	16	21.6	22	22.4	20	22.6	7		-0.001
Yes	10	21.6	22	23.4	58 120	22.6	/	0.6	<0.001
- INO	38	/8.4	12	/0.6	150	//.4	99	93.4	
Osteoporotic fractures:									
• Yes	18	24.3	28	29.8	46	27.4	16	15.1	0.018
• No	56	75.7	66	70.2	122	72.6	90	84.9	

*P- value of relation between cases (total) and controls. **Caloric restrictive diet for more than 3 months. ***Weight loss of more than 10 kg or more than 10% of body weight. ****Body weight below average compared to children of the same age.

		Cases	Controls	P- value*	
Menstrual and obstetric history	Osteoporosis	Osteopenia	Total	(No.=106)	
	(No.=74)	(No.=94)	(No.=168)		
Age of menarche (by years):					0.004
■ Mean ± SD	13.5 ± 1.8	13.3 ± 1.7	13.4 ± 1.7	12.8 ± 1.5	
History of regular menses:					P0. 093
 No 	3 (4.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.8%)	6 (5.7%)	
 Yes 	71 (95.9%)	94 (100%)	165 (98.2%)	100	
				(94.3%)	
History of premenstrual tension					0.110
syndrome:					
• No	27 (36.5%)	26 (27.7%)	53 (31.5%)	24 (22.6%)	
• Yes	47 (63.5%)	68 (72.3%)	115 (68.5%)	82 (77.4%)	
Age of menopause (by years):					0.007
• Mean \pm SD	47.4 ± 6.0	47.6 ± 5.2	47.5 ± 5.6	49.2 ± 4.4	
Years of menopause:					<0.001
 Median (IQR) 	10 (5 :18)	6 (2 :13)	7 (3 :14.7)	3 (2: 6)	
Number of pregnancies:					0.065
 Median (IQR) 	6 (4: 8)	5 (3.7:7)	6 (4: 7)	5 (4: 6)	
Number of deliveries:					0.002
 Median (IQR) 	5 (3: 7)	4 (3: 6.2)	5 (3: 7)	4 (3: 5)	
Number of full-term children:					0.002
 Median (IQR) 	5 (3: 7)	4 (3: 6)	5 (3: 7)	4 (3: 5)	
Number of Breast-feedings:**					0.060
 Median (IQR) 	4 (2: 6)	4 (2: 6)	4 (2: 6)	3 (2: 5)	
Age of youngest child:					0.038
■ Mean ± SD	23.8 ± 7.7	21.4 ± 7.3	22.4 ± 7.6	20.4 ± 7.5	
Calcium supplementations:					
During pregnancy: (No.=260)					<0.001
 No 	62 (91.2%)	74 (81.3%)	136 (85.5%)	62 (61.4%)	
 Yes 	6 (8.8%)	17 (18.7%)	23 (14.5%)	39 (38.6%)	
 Total 	68 (100%)	91 (100%)	159 (100%)	101 (100%)	
During lactation: (No.=255)					0.130
 No 	68 (100%)	84 (93.3%)	152 (96.2%)	89 (91.8%)	
 Yes 	0 (0%)	6 (6.7%)	6 (3.8%)	8 (8.2%)	
 Total 	68 (100%)	90 (100%)	158 (100%)	97 (100%)	
Pregnancy spacing: (No.=259) **					0.024
 Never 	19 (28.4%)	23 (25.3%)	42 (26.6%)	15 (14.9%)	
 Some pregnancies 	23 (34.3%)	33 (36.3%)	56 (35.4%)	32 (31.7%)	
 All pregnancies 	25 (37.3%)	35 (38.5%)	60 (38.0%)	54 (53.5%)	
 Total 	67 (100%)	91 (100%)	158 (100%)	101(100%)	

Table (4): Menstrual and obstetric history of the studied groups

*P-value of relation between cases (total) and controls. **Breastfeeding (6 months or more for each child). **Pregnancy spacing is 2 years between pregnancy and the following one.

Predictors	P- value*	Odds ratio	95% Confidence Interval
• Age (years)	0.005	1.352	1.095 - 1.670
 Recommended physical exercise 	0.012	11.230	1.700 - 74.194
 Sun exposure (indoor) 	0.002	17.935	2.809 - 114.521
 Personal history of fragility fractures 	0.004	267.280	5.815 - 12284.410
 Pregnancy spacing: 	0.033		
 Never 	0.009	29.976	2.329 - 385.820
 Sometimes 	0.070	8.061	0.844 - 77.028
 Number of deliveries 	0.017	1.751	1.106 - 2.774
• Age of menopause (years)	0.013	0.759	0.610 - 0.944
• BMI	0.004	0.788	0.670 - 0.927
Family history of osteoporosis	0.003	155.309	5.816 - 4147.632

Table (5): Significant predictors for abnormal BMD (osteopenia and osteoporosis)

*P- value of relation between cases (total) and controls

الملخص العربي

التنبؤ بمخاطر هشاشة العظام بين مجموعة من الإناث بعد انقطاع الطمث

مها عبد الرحمن موافي ، ليلى محمود كامل ، سهى طلعت حامد ، داليا أحمد محمد ، يار ا مجدي طه محمد

الخلفيه: غالبًا ما يُطلق على مرض هشاشة العظام اسم المرض الصامت ، حيث أن العرض الأول لهشاشة العظام هو الكسر. تعاني 53.9 ٪ من النساء في مصر بعد انقطاع الطمث ، من استسقاء العظام بينما 28.4 ٪ لديهن هشاشة العظام. الفشل في تحديد المرضى المعرضين لخطر الإصابة بهشاشة العظام والكسور يؤدي إلى فقدان فرص الوقاية.

الهدف: كانت أهداف الدراسة هي تقييم العلاقة بين عوامل خطر الإصابة بهشاشة العظام وشدة استسقاء العظام / هشاشة العظام في الإناث بعد بعد انقطاع الطمث، واقتراح أداة فحص بسيطة للتنبؤ باستسقاء العظام / هشاشة العظام في الرعاية الصحية الأولية. طرق البحث:هذا البحث هو دراسة مقطعيه. وكان عدد المشاركين في الدراسة هو 210 سيدة في سن انقطاع الطمث تم اختيار هم عن طريق أخذ العينات غير الاحتمالية. تم استخدام استبيان المقابلة ، الترابط وتحليل الانحدار اللوجستي لتحليل و عرض النتائج. النتائج:كانت التنبؤات المستقلة المستقلة لنخر العظام / هشاشة العظام هي: التقدم في الرعاية المندية غير الكافية ، عدم

كفاية التعرض لأشعة الشمس في الأماكن المغلقة ، التاريخ الشخصي لكسور الهشاشة ، المباعدة غير الجيدة بين الحمل ، الحمل المتكرر ، انقطاع الطمث المبكر ، انخفاض مؤشر كتلة الجسم والتاريخ العائلي لهشاشة العظام.

الخلاصه:كشفت هذه الدراسة عن ارتفاع معدل انتشارنخر العظام و هشاشة العظام الذي لم يتم تشخيصه. وخلصت الى أن استخدام عوامل الخطر التسع السابقة كأداة بسيطة وفعالة من حيث التكلفة في الرعاية الصحية الأولية للتنبؤ المبكر للكثافة المعدنية غير الطبيعية للعظام.