Prevalence of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder among Preschool Children (3-6 Years), Menoufia Governorate

Omiyma A. Mahrous¹, Rabie A. Al-Bahnasy¹, Mahmoud E. Abo-Salem¹, Hala M. Gabr¹, Mohamed M. Elbahy², Nehad B.Elsaid^{1*}

¹ Public health and community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University

² Fellow at clinical psychiatry Department, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University

Abstract:

Background: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is the most common neurobehavioral disorder in children and adolescents. It is characterized by inattention and hyperactivity-impulsivity that often results in behavioral and academic difficulties. It affects about 3 - 12% of children with a male to female ratio varying from 3:1 to 9:1. Objectives: To estimate the prevalence and identifying possible risk factors of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among preschool children (3-6 years), at Menoufia governorate. Methods: A cross-sectional comparative study was carried out in Menoufia governorate during the period from 15th March 2016 to 1st April 2018. The study included 572 preschool children (308) male and (264) female. They had been chosen from the preschool classes (KG1 and KG2) in the randomly selected schools. Written consents were taken from children caregivers to participate in the study. Parents and teachers were the respondent to the Arabic version of Conner's questionnaire. Suspected cases were confirmed and categorized by DSM-V criteria. Affected children and control group were subjected to medical and psychological assessment, IQ estimation and laboratory investigations. Results: Prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among preschool children was 9.30%. It was higher in males (69.8%) with a male to female ratio about 2:1. Main risk factors were low socioeconomic level, large family size, living with a single parent and positive consanguinity. Conclusion: ADHD presents a troublesome problem among preschool children at Menoufia governorate. Collaborative efforts are required for early detection and management.

Key words: Attention deficit, Disorder, Prevalence, Risk factors

Introduction: Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a neuro-developmental disorder characterized by a definite behavioral that might impair individual pattern performance in the social, educational or work environments.⁽¹⁾ It affects about 3-12% of children with a male to female ratio varying from 3:1 to 9:1.⁽²⁾About 30-50% of people diagnosed in childhood continue to have symptoms into adulthood.⁽³⁾ Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder can affect every aspect of the child's functioning and affect not only the school performance but also the family

life and peer interaction, resulting in significant academic delay, learning deficits and poor self-esteem, which further complicate the clinical presentation and treatment.⁽⁴⁾

The cause of most cases of ADHD is unknown however, it is believed to involve interactions between genetic and environmental factors. ⁽⁵⁾ There are three different subtypes of ADHD; combined ADHD is the most common subtype, which involves symptoms of both inattentiveness and hyperactivity/impulsivity. Inattentive ADHD is marked by impaired attention and concentration. Hyperactive-impulsive ADHD is marked by hyperactivity without inattentiveness.⁽⁶⁾

In Egypt, previous studies indicated that attention deficit hyperactivity disorder is an important public health problem among children.⁽⁷⁾ Diagnosis of ADHD depends on criteria of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM V) of the American Psychiatric Association.⁽⁸⁾ Treatment of ADHD consists of drug therapy, behavioral therapy, diet therapy or their combination. Regardless of the approach used, early and effective treatment of ADHD is recommended to yield a better prognosis and fewer problems in adulthood.⁽⁹⁾

There are limited published data on the prevalence and risk factors of ADHD among preschool children. This study aimed to estimate the prevalence of ADHD among preschool children (3-6 years) at Menoufia governorate by recognizing the potential risk factors that could be either prevented or managed.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional comparative study which was conducted on 572 preschool children aged 3-6 years old. They were chosen from the preschool classes (KG1 and KG2) in the selected schools during the period from March 15^{th,} 2016 to 1st April

2018. This study was conducted after its approval by the ethical committee of the faculty of medicine, Menoufia University. All caregivers of children gave a valid written informed consent after clear explanation of the study aims and techniques.

Sample size calculation: The sample size was calculated using Epi Info (2000) program depending on both, the total number of preschool students in Menoufia governorate was 56908 during the scholastic year (2016-2017) and past review of literature Soliman et al. ⁽⁷⁾ who estimate prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among children was (6.50%), sample size has been calculated at power 80% and CI 95%, using the $\underline{Z^2 pq}$

following formula: $N = \overline{E^2}$. So sample size calculated was 603 children. There were 31 incomplete questionnaires they were excluded giving response rate (94.8%) so the final sample size was 572 participants.

Sampling technique: A multistage random sampling technique was used as follows, first stage: one district (Shebin El-Kom) out of 10 districts in Menoufia governorate was chosen randomly. Second stage: selection of schools by doing list for both private and governmental schools that have preschool classes (KG1 and KG2), then one private and three governmental schools were randomly selected. Third stage: selection of children, two classes from each school were chosen.

Inclusion criteria: Children age from 3-6 years and both sex. Exclusion Criteria: children with a neurological or chronic illness. Children who gave positive results on both parents and teachers Conners questionnaires as well as the DSM-V questionnaire were included in the study group. Children who gave negative results regarding the diagnosis of ADHD were included in control group. They were chosen randomly from the same schools and the same classes, with a case to control ratio 1: 1. Parents were interviewed by the researcher at schools and asked to fill a predesigned questionnaire to assess risk factors. Arabic form of Conner's scale⁽¹⁰⁾ and Arabic form of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders V (DSM-V)⁽⁸⁾, also teachers were invited after their consent to fill the corresponding form of Arabic Conner's questionnaire.

Tools of the study: The predesigned questionnaire included the socio-demographic and data (age, residence). the sex. socioeconomic level which was classified according to the scoring system done by Ibrahim and Abd El- Ghaffar.⁽¹¹⁾ This scoring fathers system involved: and mothers education and occupation, family size and income. The score of family income was modified to be: more than sufficient =3, sufficient = 2 and less than sufficient =1. Scores of all parameters were added and the scoring system was based on 3 SE levels: High = 9 to 12, Middle = 5 to < 9 and Low =less than 5. The family history of the following: consanguinity, chronic illness (diabetes.M, hypertension, heart diseases etc...), psychiatric illness and history of ADHD among first-degree relatives). Also, food habits, watching TV and electronic games are include.

Arabic form of Conners parent scalerevised long versions ⁽¹⁰⁾, it consists of 80 items with an average administration time of 25-30 minutes. It scores the parent's report of their child's behavior during the past month on a 4 point scoring, namely (0) not true or seldom true to (3) Very often true. Scoring of Conners was average, typical score (45 - 55), slightly atypical, borderline (56 - 60), mild atypical, possible problem (61 - 65), moderately atypical, an evident significant problem (66 - 70) and markedly atypical, a significant problem (>70). The Arabic version of Conners teacher scale- revised long versions⁽¹⁰⁾, it 59 consists of items questionnaire with an average administration time of 15-20 minutes. It provides data on the child's academic. social and emotional behavior in the classroom setting during the

past one month prior to the administration, its scoring is like the parent form.

The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders V (DSM-V)⁽⁸⁾, it consists of 18 items 9 for inattention and 9 for hyperactivity- impulsivity scoring is done on a 4 point response (0 = Never, 1 =Occasionally, 2 = Often, 3 = Very Often or always, symptoms that occur 'often'' or "very often" are considered as present, and those that occur "never" or "occasionally" are considered absent, diagnosis is made when 6 symptoms or more of inattention are available and 6 symptoms or more of hyperactivity-impulsivity are available as well. General and neurological examination, IQ tests and laboratory investigations were conducted to ADHD children and control group.

General examinations encompassed weight and height. Weight was measured on a calibrated digital electronic scale which was set to zero before placing the child on it and was checked weekly with known calibration weights.⁽¹²⁾ Height was measured by a tape measure permanently fixed to a wall the head was held firmly at the top of the board.⁽¹³⁾ Neurological examinations were conducted by a psychiatrist to detect any organic pathology. Intelligence quotient (IQ tests), Wechsler intelligence scale for children was done by a psychologist. Blood samples were collected and examined for hemoglobin level and bioelements in serum (Iron, Mg and zinc level) by using the spectrophotometer (Jenway, Keison International Ltd., Chelmsford, UK). Statistical analysis: Data were collected, tabulated, statistically analyzed using an IBM personal computer with Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS) version 22 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA).where the following statistics were applied, Qualitative data were expressed as number and percent and tested by the chi-squared test. Quantitative data were expressed as mean and standard deviation and tested by the t-test. Crude and adjusted odds ratios using logistic regression analysis were calculated at 95% confidence intervals. Logistic regression was used to calculate the effects of risk factors as independent variables while the effects of other confounders were removed. P value < 0.05 was set to be significant.

Results: The prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among preschool children was 9.3%. Mean age of ADHD children was higher than control group 5.46±0.66 and 4.95±0.73, respectively. The prevalence of ADHD was higher in males (69.8%), with a male to female ratio was 2.31:1 (Table1). The combined type was the commonest present in 26 cases (49.1%), followed by hyperactive-impulsive (28.3%)(Figure1). Skilled and professional jobs of mothers found to increase the risk for ADHD by about two folds (OR =2.79 CI 95% = 1.26-6.21). Low and middle socioeconomic level found to increase the risk for ADHD by about two folds (OR=2.49 CI95%= 1.10 - 5.62). Large family size, living with a single parent, consanguine parents, father smoking, and family history of chronic disease and history of ADHD were considered risk factors for ADHD (Table 1).

Delayed speech present in 32.1% of ADHD children compared to 9.40% of control group (X^2 = 8.26, P value= 0.012), bad social relations with peers were more common in ADHD children 37.7% (Chi square test =18.5, P value=0.001). Regarding IQ level the present study found that 28.3% of ADHD children had IQ level from 80 – 90 compared to 11.3% in control group (X^2 = 7.68, P value = 0.034) (Figure 2).

Frequent eating of chips, sweets, soft drinks, watching TV and playing electronic games for long time were risk factors for ADHD with significant difference between ADHD group and control group (OR=2.51 CI95%= 1.06-5.94) and (OR=7.09 CI95%= 2.93-17.1) respectively (Table 2). The biological elements (iron, magnesium, and zinc) were deficient in ADHD children compared to control group, the difference was statistically significant (Table 3). Multivariate analysis was done by using binary logistic regression. Familial and socioeconomic risk factors like positive consanguinity, low socioeconomic level, living with a single parent, mother employed, father smoking and large family size were associated with an increased likelihood of ADHD. Also, frequent eating of chips and sweets daily, watching TV for a long time found to increase the chance of developing ADHD. Other significant risk factors before logistic regression analysis were found to be non-significant after this analysis as family history of chronic illness and ADHD (Table 4).

Discussion: In this study, the prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder was 9.30% among preschool children. These findings were consistent with Soliman et al.⁽⁷⁾ who found that overall prevalence of ADHD among children was 6.5%. In the current study prevalence of ADHD was higher in males with a male to female ratio 2.31:1. This result agrees with Mohamed et al.⁽¹⁴⁾ who found the distribution of male and female gender was 2.7:1.

In the current study, the combined type (ADHD-C) (49.1%) was the commonest type, followed by hyperactive-impulsive type (ADHD-HI) (28.3%) and inattentive type

110

(ADHD-IA) (22.6%). These results were similar to that reported by Mohamed et al.⁽¹⁴⁾ who revealed that 47.4% of ADHD children presented with predominantly ADHD-C, compared to 29.6% presented with ADHD-HI and 23% presented with ADHD-I.

Many factors were implicated in increasing risk of ADHD. In our study, mother working had two folds increased risk for ADHD (OR=2.79 CI95%=1.26-6.21). This is similar to that reported by Farhat et al.⁽¹⁵⁾ who found that housewife and simple workers are more protected from having ADHD than those with highly employed mothers. In the present study low and middle socioeconomic level had about two folds increased risk for ADHD more than high socioeconomic level (OR = 2.49 CI95% = 1.10-5.62). This agrees with Al Hamed et al.⁽¹⁶⁾ who found a significant difference in the prevalence of ADHD between the children belonging to lower and high socioeconomic level.

This could be explained by the fact that the children belonging to a lower social class are at an increased risk of having various psychiatric problems, because of factors such as complicated pregnancy, malnutrition and exposure to environmental toxins.

In the current study large family size had two folds increased risk for ADHD more than small families (OR=2.33CI95%=1.07-5.10), this result is similar to Jenahi et al.⁽¹⁷⁾ who showed the association of ADHD symptoms with large family size. This could be explained that the care needed by children is more likely to be afforded when the size of the family is smaller. In the present study living with single parent increase risk for ADHD by about three folds more than living with both parents (OR=3.14 CI95%= 1.23-8.03). This is similar to El-Tallawy et al.⁽¹⁸⁾ who found that separation of the child from one or both parents early in life was associated with increasing the prevalence of ADHD. This also agrees with Al Hamed et al.⁽¹⁶⁾ who reported that prevalence of hyperactivity-impulsivity disorder was found to be significantly higher in boys living with single parents. This disagrees with Farhat et al.⁽¹⁵⁾ who found that disrupted family environment was not a risk factor for ADHD.

In the present study, positive consanguinity was important risk factor had about five folds increased risk for ADHD (OR= 5.93 CI95%= 1.23-28.5). It does consistent with Abdulbari et al.⁽¹⁹⁾ who found a significant relationship between ADHD symptoms and consanguineous parents. In the current study father smoking found to increase risk by about two folds (OR = 2.35 CI95% = 1.07-5.15). These findings are similar to D'Onofrio, et al.⁽²⁰⁾ who reported that there is evidence of a dose- response relationship between the number of cigarettes smoked and ADHD severity.

The results of the present study showed that, children having first degree relative with chronic illness have about five and half folds increased chance for having ADHD more than children don't have first degree relative with chronic illness (OR= 5.78 CI95%= 1.79-18.6) This is similar to Banerjee et al.⁽²¹⁾ who found that children having first degree relative with chronic illness have increased chance for having ADHD. In the present study children having first degree relative with ADHD have about three folds increased the chance for having ADHD more than children don't have first degree relative with ADHD have about three folds increased the chance for having ADHD more than children don't have first degree relative with ADHD (OR= 3.45 CI95%= 1.14, 10.4).

These results were in agreement with Mohamed et $al^{(12)}$ who reported a significant association of ADHD and family history of ADHD. In this study delayed speech was found in 32.1% of ADHD children compared to 9.40% of control group. This agrees with El Hamrawy et $al^{(22)}$ who found that 23.3% of ADHD children had delayed language development also, in the present study 37.7% of ADHD children had bad social relations with their peers compared to 3.80% of control group. The findings were in concordance with El Hamrawy et $al^{(22)}$ who found that behaviors

of children with ADHD are often characterized as more impulsive, intrusive, excessive, disorganized, aggressive, intense, and emotional.

The current study showed that frequent eating chips, sweets and soft drinks had daily about two and half folds increased the risk for developing ADHD (OR=2.51 CI95%=1.06-5.94). This agrees with a study conducted by Millichap JG and Yee MM⁽²³⁾ who concluded that the more sugar hyperactive children consumed the more destructive and restless they became. The current study showed that watching TV and playing electronic games for long time increase risk for ADHD by about seven folds (OR= 7.09 CI95%= 2.93-17.1). This agrees with El Gendy et al ⁽²⁴⁾ who found that there was increased risk of ADHD associated with watching TV. The present study found that there were deficiencies in bio elements (iron, magnesium, and zinc) in ADHD children.

These results were similar to El- Baz et al⁽²⁵⁾ who found that magnesium deficiency was detected in 72% of ADHD Egyptian children and supplementation with Mg significantly improved hyperactivity and inattention also, found that 70% of ADHD cases aged 6 to 16 were zinc deficient.

Study limitations: Extrapolation of the obtained results must be taken cautiously due to small sample size.

Conclusion: It is a serious concern that ADHD is a common disorder among children 3 - 6 years at Menoufia governorate. Early detection and parent training programs should be developed to increase parenting skills in managing their child's behavior.

Conflict of Interests: No conflict of interest to be declared

References:

- Schuch V, Utsumi DA, Costa TV. Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in the Light of the Epigenetic Paradig. Front Psychiatry 2015; 6: 126.
- Willcutt EG. The prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, Ameta analytic review. Neuro therapeutic 2012; 9(3): 490-499.
- Ginsberg Y, Quintero J, Casillas M. Under diagnosis of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in adult patients: a review of the literature. Prim Care Companion CNS Disord 2014; 16 (3): 133 – 146.
- 4. Sroubek A, Kelly M, Li X. Inattentiveness in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

Neuroscience Bulletin 2013; 29(1): 103–110.

- Millichap JG. Etiologic classification of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics 2010; 121(2): 358- 365.
- Daley D, Van D, Oord S, Ferrin M. Behavioral interventions in attention deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: a metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials across multiple outcome domains. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2014; 53(8): 835- 847.
- Soliman GT, Afify MF, Yehia MA, Emad A, AbdAlkarim SM. Attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. An epidemiological study of preschool and primary school children in Minia city, El-Minia Governorate. med., Bul 2010; (21):171-179.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, 5th Edition. Text modification. Arlington, VA. American Psychiatric Association 2013; 65- 69.
- Hodgson K, Hutchinson AD, Denson L. Non pharmacological treatments for ADHD. A Journal of attention disorders 2014; 18 (4): 275–282.
- 10. Conners K. User's manual and administration guide of the Conners'

rating scales revised. Multi health systems incorporated 1997.

- Ibrahim M, Abdel-Ghaffar A. Estimation of the social and economic state of the family. Ain Shams University J of Applie d Psychology 1990; 125- 141.
- Borghi E, De Onis M, Garza C, Broeck J, Frongillo EA. Construction of the World Health Organization child growth standards: selection of methods for attained growth curves. Stat. Med 2006; 25:247-265.
- 13. De Onis M, Onyango AW, Broeck J, Chumlea WC. Measurement and standardization protocols for anthropometry used in the construction of a new international growth reference. Food Nut Bull 2004; 25: 27- 36.
- 14. Mohamed F, Saeid H, Salah M.
 Prevalence of Attention Deficit
 Hyperactivity Disorder in Children.
 Science Journal of Public Health2015;
 3(2): 274-280.
- 15. Farahat T, Alkot M, Rajab A, Anbar R. Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder among Primary School Children in Menoufia Governorate, Egypt. Int J Family Med 2014; 2014:257369.
- 16. Al Hamed H, Taha AZ, Sabra AA, BellaH. Attention Deficit HyperactivityDisorder (ADHD) among male primary

school children in Dammam, Saudi Arabia: prevalence and associated factors. The Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association 2008; 83 (4) 165–182.

- Jenahi E, Khalil MS, Bella H. Prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity symptoms in female school children in Saudi Arabia. Ann Saudi Med 2012; 32(5):462-468.
- 18. El-Tallawy HN, Hassan WA, El-Behary AA, Shehata GA. Prevalence of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among elementary schools children in Assiut city-Egypt. Egypt J Neurol Psychiat Neurosurg 2005; 42(2): 517-526.
- Abdulbari Bener, Razna Al Qahtani, Ibrahim Abdelaal. The prevalence of ADHD among primary school children in an Arabian society. Journal of Attention Disorders 2006; 10 (1): 77-82.
- 20. D'Onofrio BM, Van Hulle CA, Waldman ID, Rodgers JL, Harden KP, Rathouz PJ. Smoking during pregnancy and offspring externalizing problems: an exploration of genetic and environmental confounds. Dev Psychopathol 2008; 20: 1.
- Banerjee TD, Middleton F, Faraone SV. Environmental risk factors for attentiondeficit hyperactivity disorder. Acta Pediatric.2007; 96(9): 1269- 1274.

- 22. El Hamrawy LG, Mahmoud S, Ramadan M, Mohamed O. Study of Psychiatric comorbidities in a sample of ADHD Children in Pediatric Psychiatric Clinics of El Dakahlia Hospital of Mental Health. Middle East Current Psychiatry J 2016; 24 (3): 134 141.
- 23. Millichap JG, Yee MM. The diet factor in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics 2012; 129 (2): 330–337.
- 24. EL-Gendy S, El-Bitar E, El-Awady M , Agwa E. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: Prevalence and risk factors in

Egyptian primary School Children. E JMC 2016; 35(1): 1-16.

25. Elbaz F, Zahra S, Hanafy H. Magnesium, zinc and copper estimation in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). The Egyptian Journal of Medical Human Genetics 2017; 18: 153–163.

| | Studied groups | | | | | | Odds ratio |
|--|----------------|------|-------------|------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| Studied variables | ADHD Control | | χ2 | Р | CI 95% | | |
| | group | | group | | | value | |
| | (N=53) | | (N=53) | | | | |
| | No. | % | No. | % | | | |
| Age / years ($\overline{\mathbf{X}} \pm SD$) | 5.46±0.66 | | 4.95±0.73 | | t-test | | |
| Range | 3.50 - 6.00 | | 3.00 - 6.00 | | 3.77 | 0.001* | |
| Gender | | | | | | | |
| Male | 37 | 69.8 | 25 | 47.2 | 5.60 | 0.018* | 2.59(1.17 - 5.75) |
| Female | 16 | 30.2 | 28 | 52.8 | | | |
| Occupation of mother | | | | | | | |
| Housewife+ Unskilled | 16 | 30.2 | 29 | 54.7 | | | 2.79(1.26 - 6.21) |
| Skilled+ Professional | 37 | 69.8 | 24 | 45.3 | 6.53 | 0.010* | |
| Occupation of father | | | | | | | |
| Unskilled | 13 | 24.5 | 10 | 18.9 | 0.500 | 0.479 | 1.40(0.55 - 3.54) |
| Skilled +Professional | 40 | 75.5 | 43 | 81.1 | | | |
| Socioeconomic level | | | | | | | |
| Low + Middle | 39 | 73.6 | 28 | 52.8 | 4.91 | 0.026* | 2.49(1.10 - 5.62) |
| High | 14 | 26.4 | 25 | 47.2 | | | |
| Family size | | | | | | | |
| ■ ≤4 | 23 | 43.4 | 34 | 64.2 | 4.59 | 0.032* | 2.33(1.07 - 5.10) |
| ■ >4 | 30 | 56.6 | 19 | 35.8 | | | |
| Living with parents | | | | | | | |
| Both parents | 34 | 64.2 | 45 | 84.9 | 6.01 | 0.014* | 3.14(1.23-8.03) |
| Single parent | 19 | 35.8 | 8 | 15.1 | | | |
| Consanguinity | | | | | | | |
| Positive | 10 | 18.9 | 2 | 3.80 | 6.01 | 0.014* | 5.93(1.23-28.5) |
| Negative | 43 | 81.1 | 51 | 96.2 | | | |
| Father smoking | | | | | | | |
| • Yes | 29 | 54.7 | 18 | 34.0 | | | 2.35(1.07 - 5.15) |
| • No | 24 | 45.3 | 35 | 66.0 | 4.62 | 0.031* | |
| Family history of chronic disease | | | | | | | |
| Present | 17 | 32.1 | 4 | 7.50 | | | 5.78(1.79-18.6) |
| Absent | 36 | 67.9 | 49 | 92.5 | 10.0 | 0.002* | |
| Family history of ADHD | | | | | | | |
| Present | 14 | 26.4 | 5 | 9.40 | | | 3.45(1.14-10.4) |
| Absent | 39 | 73.6 | 48 | 90.6 | 5.19 | 0.023* | |

Table (1): Socio-demographic and family characters among studied groups

*Significant



Figure (1): Types of Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.



Figure (2): Comparison between studied groups regarding speech development, social relations and IQ level.

| | Studied groups | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|------|------------------|------|-------|--------|-------------------|--|
| Studied variables | ADHD group | | Control group | | χ2 | P- | Odds ratio | |
| | | | | | | value | CI 95% | |
| | (N=53) | | (N=53) | | | | | |
| | No. | % | No. | % | | | | |
| Chicken or meat / week | | | | | | | | |
| ■ ≤2 | 30 | 56.6 | 27 | 50.9 | | | 1.26(0.58 - 2.70) | |
| ■ >2 | 23 | 43.4 | 26 | 49.1 | 0.342 | 0.559 | | |
| Fruits and vegetables / week | | | | | | | | |
| ■ ≤2 | 28 | 52.8 | 24 | 45.3 | | | 1.35(0.63 - 2.90) | |
| ■ >2 | 25 | 47.2 | 29 | 54.7 | 0.604 | 0.437 | | |
| Fast foods / month | | | | | | | | |
| ■ >2 | 23 | 43.4 | 26 | 49.1 | 0.342 | 0.559 | 1.26(0.58-2.70) | |
| ■ ≤ 2 | 30 | 56.6 | 27 | 50.9 | | | | |
| Milk and milk products / | | | | | | | | |
| week | | | | | | | | |
| ■ ≤2 | 27 | 50.9 | 20 | 37.7 | 1.87 | 0.171 | 1.71(0.79 - 3.71) | |
| ■ >2 | 26 | 49.1 | 33 | 62.3 | | | | |
| Chips , soft drinks and | | | | | | | | |
| sweets daily | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 42 | 79.2 | 32 | 60.4 | 4.47 | 0.034* | 2.51(1.06 - 5.94) | |
| ■ No | 11 | 20.8 | 21 | 39.6 | | | | |
| Watching TV or electronic | | | | | | | | |
| games / day | | | | | | | | |
| • ≤ 2 hours | 10 | 18.9 | 33 | 62.3 | 20.7 | 0.001* | 7.09(2.93-17.1) | |
| • > 2 hours | 43 | 81.1 | 20 | 37.7 | | | | |

Table (2): Dietary habits and watching TV as risk factors for ADHD among studied groups

*Significant

| | Studie | d groups | t-test | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Laboratory investigations | | ADHD | | Control | P value |
| | | group (N=53) | group (N=53) | | |
| Hb (g/dl) | Mean ±SD | 11.3±0.69 | 11.5±0.59 | 1.92 | 0.056 |
| Normal level (11.1 - 13 g/dl) | Range | 10 - 12.5 | 10.5 - 12.5 | | |
| Iron (ug/dl) | Mean ±SD | 52.2±7.53 | 68.2±11.1 | 8.63 | 0.001* |
| Normal level (49-181) ug/dl | Range | 43 - 69 | 50 - 89 | | |
| Zn (mcg/mL) | Mean ±SD | 57.0±7.70 | 72.8±11.5 | 8.24 | 0.001* |
| Normal level (66 -101)mcg/mL | Range | 40 - 66 | 55 -98 | | |
| Mg (mg/dl) | Mean ±SD | 1.57±0.49 | 1.97±0.37 | 4.71 | 0.001* |
| Normal level (1.5-2.3) mg/dl | Range | 1 - 2.23 | 1.3 - 2.33 | | |

Table (3): Laboratory investigations among studied groups:

*Significant

 Table (4): Logistic regression of the most relevant risk factors of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among studied group

| Studied variables | Wald | Adjusted | CI 95 % | P value |
|--|-------|----------|----------------|---------|
| | | OR | | |
| Mother work | 6.43 | 1.84 | (1.51 – 26.1) | 0.011* |
| Low and middle Socioeconomic level | 6.82 | 3.15 | (1.65 – 28.3) | 0.001* |
| Large family size > 4 | 4.98 | 1.42 | (1.21-20.6) | 0.030* |
| Living with a single parent | 7.86 | 1.96 | (2.31–26.8) | 0.022* |
| Positive consanguinity | 8.16 | 3.24 | (1.82 – 36.7) | 0.016* |
| Father smoking | 5.04 | 1.62 | (1.13 – 22.6) | 0.034* |
| Positive family history of chronic illness | 0.527 | 0.88 | (0.222 – 26.5) | 0.468 |
| Positive family history of ADHD | 0.944 | 1.19 | (0.027 – 3.36) | 0.303 |
| Problems during pregnancy | 12.5 | 2.52 | (1.27 – 123.5) | 0.030* |
| Eating chips and sweets daily | 12.3 | 2.51 | (2.62 – 58.0) | 0.001* |
| Watching TV or electronic games > 2 hours / day | 17.1 | 2.58 | (2.34 – 120.5) | 0.001* |

*Significant

الملخص العربى

مدي انتشار نقص الانتباه وفرط الحركة بين أطفال ماقبل المدرسة (3- 6عام) في محافظة المنوفية اميمه محروس- ربيع البهنسي- محمود ابو سالم- هاله جابر - محمد البهي- نهاد عبد العاطي السيد

الخلفيه: يعتبر نقص الانتباه وفرط الحركه أحد أكثر الأمراض النفسية شيوعاً بين الأطفال وترتفع نسبة الأصابة في الذكور عن الإناث. الأهداف: معرفه مدى انتشار نقص الانتباه وفرط الحركة بين عينة مختارة عشوائيا لأطفال المرحلة التمهيدية الذين تتراوح أعمار هم بين3 إلى6عامآ في محافظة المنوفية وكذلك در اسة عوامل الخطورة المحتملة لهذا الاضطراب بين أفراد العينة. ا**لمنهجية** و طرق البحث: أجريت هذه الدراسه وهي دراسة المرضى والشواهد مبنية على دراسة مستعرضة على 572 طفل تتراوح أعمار هم بين 3 إلى 6عاماً تم اختيار هم بطريقة عشوائية من بين أطفال المرحلة التمهيدية في أربعه مدارس بمحافظة المنوفية. و تم أخذ موافقة مستنيرة من أولياء أمور الأطفال للمشاركة في الدراسة ثم قام أولياء الأمور بملء استمارة استبيان تشمل اسم الطالب بالعمر ب محل الإقامة وعوامل الخطوره المحتمله وكذلك النسخه العربية من كلا من مقياس كونرز لنقص الانتباه وفرط الحركة وإستبيان معتمدعلي معايير التشخيص في الدليل الاحصائي والتشخيصي(الاصدار الخامس) للأمراض النفسيه والعقليه لمرض ضعف الانتباه وفرط الحركة وقد تم عمل فحص إكلينيكي وقياس معدل الذكاء للاطفال واختبارات معمليه للأطفال الذين تم تشخصيهم بهذا الاضطراب وكذلك لمجموعه مماثلة مختارة عشوائيا من الأطفال الذين لا يعانون من نقص الانتباه و فرط الحركة. النتائج: أوضحت النتائج أن معدل انتشار نقص الانتباه وفرط الحركة بين أطفال المرحلة التمهيدية (9.30%) وكانت نسبه الأصابه في الذكور اعلى من الاناث بنسبة 1:2 كما وجد أن هناك نقصا في نسبة الزنك والحديد و الماغنسيوم وأن هذا النقص ذو دلاله إحصائيه مقارنة بالمجموعه الضابطه . ووجد أن من العوامل المؤثره في هذا الأضطراب هو صله القرابه بين الابوين , مستوى الدخل المنخفض والاضطربات الأسريه. كما لوحظ أن معدل استهلاك الأطفال المصابيين للحلوي والسكريات وكذلك معدل مشاهده التلفاز يوميا أكثر من اقرانهم في المجموعه الضابطه وكانت الفروق ذات دلاله إحصائية هامة. ا**لاستنتاجات:** وقد أوصت الدراسه بضروره زياده الوعى لدي الآباء والمدرسين عن الامراض السلوكيه للأطفال وكيفيه التعامل معها.